

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,969	07/25/2003	Kenneth E. Flick	58177	3941
27975	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791			SWARTHOU	JT, BRENT
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2636	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	AX.
U	<b>4</b>

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/626,969	FLICK, KENNETH E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brent A. Swarthout	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	arch 2005.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m mem concideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
•		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	i i	d III tills Hational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	p					
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
(PTO-948)    Σ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-24</u> -05	6) Other:	,				
Patent and Trademody Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/626,969

Art Unit: 2636

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

a. Claims 1-3,6,8,12-14,17,19-23,25,28-32,35,37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang (407) in view of Suman et al. or Nykerk.

Hwang teaches a prealarm warning system comprising prealarm sensor (port b, Fig.1) for sensing low level security alert and prealarm emulator 102 for generating a signal on data communication line to alarm controller 103 to cause alert indicator 105 to generate a prealarm different than a full alarm (col.1, line 65- col.2, line 15). Although Hwang does not specifically state that data communication line between emulator 102 and alarm controller 103 is a bus, such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the vehicle security communication art, since a bus is a well-known type of communication line in vehicle security communication systems.

Furthermore, Suman teaches desirability of using data bus 111 for communicating data for indication of vehicle security (col.9, line 10), whereby the data bus 111 interfaces with plural vehicle systems 101-110 throughout the vehicle, including a security system tamper sensor 105.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,969

Art Unit: 2636

Also, Nykerk teaches desirability in a vehicle security system of interfacing security alarm sensing data to data bus 64 throughout vehicle via processor 60, the data bus 64 also being connected to other vehicle systems (Fig.4).

It would have been obvious to connect a prealarm warning system as disclosed by Hwang over a vehicle data bus as suggested by Suman and Nykerk, in order to take advantage of wiring already existing in a vehicle without having to add supplemental wiring to communicate sensed data in a vehicle alarm system.

Regarding claim 2, Hwang uses multi-stage sensor b since it gives a chirp alert for sensing one output and gives a full alert on sensing a different output (col. 2, lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 6, Hwang teaches use of motion sensor (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Hwang teaches use of siren 105.

Regarding claim 21, choosing to place system components in a housing would have been obvious in order to protect against tampering and environmental hazards.

2. Claims 4,15,26,33 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang (407) in view of either Suman et al. or Nykerk, and further in view of Hwang (697).

Hwang (697) discloses desirability of making a prewarn alert shorter than a high level alert (col.2, lines 29-38). It would have been obvious to use a short prewarn

Application/Control Number: 10/626,969

Art Unit: 2636

alert in conjunction with a system as disclosed by Hwang (407) and Suman or Nykerk in order to notify parties that a vehicle was alarmed while still minimizing nuisance alerts of long duration.

3. Claims 5,7,16,18,24,27,34,36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang (407) in view of Suman et al. or Nykerk, and further in view of Issa et al.

Issa teaches desirability of using prewarn alerts of lesser intensity than alarms for high levels of concern (col.3, lines 19-35,65-67), and for using a two-zone shock sensor, one zone for light touches and a second zone for heavy impacts (col.3, lines 20-25, 65-67).

It would have been obvious to use a lower volume alert for less hazardous conditions, and a two-zone shock sensor as suggested by Issa in conjunction with a system as disclosed by Hwang (407) and Suman or Nykerk, in order to let a bystander know how serious an alert condition was, and in order to differentiate between minor bumps and serious shocks indicative of intrusion attempts.

- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed 3-21-05 is sufficient to overcome the double patenting rejections made in the last Office action.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/626,969 Page 5

Art Unit: 2636

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A. Swarthout whose telephone number is 571-272-2979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout

Examiner

Art Unit 2636

BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER